



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

|   |               |                      |                     |                  |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/651,918  | 08/29/2003    | Muthya K. Girish     | APL1P281/P3101      | 1074             |
| 62464   | 7590          | 02/03/2009           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| BEYER LAW GROUP LLP/APPLE INC.<br>P.O. BOX 1687<br>CUPERTINO, CA 95015-1687 |               |                      | TERMANINI, SAMIR    |                  |
| ART UNIT  | PAPER NUMBER  |                      |                     |                  |
|   | 2178          |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE   | DELIVERY MODE |                      |                     |                  |
| 02/03/2009  | PAPER         |                      |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                        |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 10/651,918             | GIRISH ET AL.       |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | Samir Termanini        | 2178                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Samir Termanini. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Craig O. Thompson (Reg. No. 47,990). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gallivan et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's arguments were persuasive in demonstrating that Gallivan lacks both the agent and customer required of claim 1. Gallivan also lacks the "during the interaction" requirement in claim 1. For the reason that a new search addressing these limitations needs to be made, withdrawal of the 12/4/08 final office action will be made in favor of a corrected action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Samir Termanini/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2178